

# Specialised session 3; Pseudofinite groups

Ulla Karhumäki

University of Helsinki

LMS Online Lecture Series Fall 2020

Part 1

# Simple pseudofinite groups

## Ultraproducts, a reminder from Lecture 3

A subset  $U \subseteq P(I)$  s.t.  $I \in U$ ,  $\emptyset \notin U$ ,  $U$  is closed under finite intersections and supersets and  $U$  is maximal w.r.t this is an *ultrafilter* on  $I$ . If

$U = \{X \subseteq I : i \in X\}$  for some  $i \in I$ , then  $U$  is principal.

- Let  $\{M_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $L$ -structures (for fixed  $L$ ),  $U$  a non-principal ultrafilter on  $I$  and  $M^* := \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ .
  - A property  $P$  holds *for almost all*  $i$  if  $\{i : P \text{ holds for } M_i\} \in U$ .
  - Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $M^*$  as:  
 $(x_i) \sim (y_i)$  if and only if  $\{i \in I : x_i = y_i\} \in U$ .
  - Relations functions and constants of  $L$  are defined to hold of a tuple of  $M = M^* / \sim$  if they hold for almost all  $i$ .
  - The resulting  $L$ -structure  $M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$  is called the *ultraproduct* of the  $L$ -structures  $M_i$  w.r.t the ultrafilter  $U$ .

*Theorem 1.1 (Łoś's Theorem).* Let  $M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$  be as above,  $\phi(\bar{x})$  be an  $L$ -formula and  $\overline{[(a_i)]} \in M$ . Then

$$\prod_{i \in I} M_i / U \models \phi(\overline{[(a_i)]}) \Leftrightarrow \{i \in I : M_i \models \phi(\bar{a}_i)\} \in U.$$

# Pseudofinite structures

Fix a language  $L$ .

**Definition 1.2.** An infinite  $L$ -structure  $M$  is called **pseudofinite** if for every  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t.  $M \models \sigma$  there is a finite  $L$ -structure  $M_0$  s.t.  $M_0 \models \sigma$ .

Let **FIN** be the common theory of all finite  $L$ -structures.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $M$  be an infinite  $L$ -structure. Then TFAE:

- 1  $M$  is pseudofinite.
- 2  $M \equiv \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$  where  $M_i$ 's are finite and  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $I$ .
- 3  $M \models \text{FIN}$ .

**Proof.** ■ (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $M \equiv \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$ . Then  $\sigma \in \text{FIN} \Rightarrow M_i \models \sigma$  for any  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\{i \in I : M_i \models \sigma\} \in U$  and hence by Łos's Theorem  $M \models \text{FIN}$ .

■ (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $M \models \text{FIN}$  and consider an  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t.  $M \models \sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  has no finite models then, for any finite  $L$ -structure  $M_0$ ,  $M_0 \models \neg\sigma$ . It follows that  $M \models \neg\sigma$ .

■ (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $I$  be the collection of finite subsets of  $\text{Th}(M)$ . For  $i \in I$ , let  $M_i$  be a finite  $L$ -structure s.t.  $M_i \models i$ . For all  $i$ , let  $X_j = \{j \in I : M_j \models \phi \text{ for all } \phi \in i\}$ ; the collection  $F$  of  $X_j$ 's has *fip* (check:  $X_i \cap X_j = X_{i \cup j} \neq \emptyset$ ) and so we may extend  $F$  to a non-principal ultrafilter  $U$ . If  $M \models \sigma$  then  $\{i \in I : M_i \models \sigma\} \supseteq X_\sigma \in U$ , and so, by Łos's Theorem,  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i / U \models \sigma$ .

# Pseudofinite structures

Fix a language  $L$ .

**Definition 1.2.** An infinite  $L$ -structure  $M$  is called **pseudofinite** if for every  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t.  $M \models \sigma$  there is a finite  $L$ -structure  $M_0$  s.t.  $M_0 \models \sigma$ .

Let **FIN** be the common theory of all finite  $L$ -structures.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $M$  be an infinite  $L$ -structure. Then TFAE:

- 1  $M$  is pseudofinite.
- 2  $M \equiv \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$  where  $M_i$ 's are finite and  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $I$ .
- 3  $M \models \text{FIN}$ .

**Proof.** ■ (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $M \equiv \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$ . Then  $\sigma \in \text{FIN} \Rightarrow M_i \models \sigma$  for any  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\{i \in I : M_i \models \sigma\} \in U$  and hence by Łos's Theorem  $M \models \text{FIN}$ .

■ (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $M \models \text{FIN}$  and consider an  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t.  $M \models \sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  has no finite models then, for any finite  $L$ -structure  $M_0$ ,  $M_0 \models \neg\sigma$ . It follows that  $M \models \neg\sigma$ .

■ (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $I$  be the collection of finite subsets of  $\text{Th}(M)$ . For  $i \in I$ , let  $M_i$  be a finite  $L$ -structure s.t.  $M_i \models i$ . For all  $i$ , let  $X_j = \{j \in I : M_j \models \phi \text{ for all } \phi \in i\}$ ; the collection  $F$  of  $X_j$ 's has *fip* (check:  $X_i \cap X_j = X_{i \cup j} \neq \emptyset$ ) and so we may extend  $F$  to a non-principal ultrafilter  $U$ . If  $M \models \sigma$  then  $\{i \in I : M_i \models \sigma\} \supseteq X_\sigma \in U$ , and so, by Łos's Theorem,  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i / U \models \sigma$ .

# Pseudofinite fields

*Theorem 1.4 (Ax 1968).* An infinite field  $F$  is pseudofinite iff all of the following hold

- 1  $F$  is **perfect**, i.e.,  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(F) = p$  and every element has a  $p^{\text{th}}$  root.
- 2  $F$  is **quasi-finite**, i.e., inside a fixed algebraic closure,  $F$  has a unique extension of each finite degree;
- 3  $F$  is **pseudo-algebraically closed (PAC)**, i.e., every absolutely irreducible variety which is defined over  $F$  has a  $F$ -rational point.

## Examples 1.5.

- In  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ :  $\prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{F}_p / U$  where  $P$  is the set of all prime numbers and  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $P$ .
- In  $\text{char}(F) > 0$ : An infinite subfield  $F$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$  generated by  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, \mathbb{F}_{p^3}, \mathbb{F}_{p^5}, \dots$

## Example 1.6. Non-examples of pseudofinite fields include:

- An algebraically closed field  $K$ : If  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$  consider  $\sigma := \forall x \exists y (y^2 = x)$ ; show that if  $K$  was pseudofinite then  $1 = -1$ . If  $\text{char}(K) = 2$  consider  $\sigma_2 := \forall x \exists y (y^3 = x)$ ; show that if  $K$  was pseudofinite then  $1 = 0$ .
- Stable fields.

# Pseudofinite groups, examples and non-examples

**Examples 1.7.** The following groups are *not* pseudofinite.

- $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ : the FO-expressible statement 'If the map sending  $x \mapsto 2x$  is injective then it is surjective' which is true in all finite groups is false in  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .
- $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}, +)$ : consider the map  $x \mapsto px$ .
- Free groups  $F_n$ : contains a definable subgroup isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .
- A (twisted) Chevalley group  $X(K)$  over a non-pseudofinite field  $K$ , e.g.,  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$  for  $K$  algebraically closed.

**Examples 1.8.** Examples of pseudofinite groups include:

- Infinite definable subgroups of pseudofinite groups and infinite quotients of a pseudofinite group by a definable normal subgroup.
- Infinite extraspecial  $p$ -groups of odd exponent  $p$ .
- $(\mathbb{Q}, +) \equiv \prod_{p \in P} C_p / U$ , where  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on the set  $P$  of all prime numbers and  $C_p$  is the cyclic group of order  $p$ .
- A (twisted) Chevalley group  $X(F)$  over a pseudofinite field, e.g.,  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(F)$  for  $F$  pseudofinite.

**Q (Sabbagh):** Are there any finitely generated pseudofinite groups?

*Theorem 1.9 (CFSG). Every finite simple group is isomorphic to one of the following groups.*

- *A cyclic group of prime order.*
- *An alternating group  $\text{Alt}_n$ , for  $n \geq 5$ .*
- *Simple group of Lie type over some finite field.*
- *One of the 26 sporadic groups.*

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $G$  be a group. The **socle** of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{Soc}(G)$ , is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all minimal normal subgroups.

**Note:** the socle of a finite group is a direct product of simple groups.



# Simple pseudofinite groups

*Theorem 1.11 (Wilson 1995).*  $G$  is a simple pseudofinite group iff  $G \equiv X(F)$ , where  $X(F)$  is a (twisted) Chevalley group over a pseudofinite field  $F$ .

Proof of  $\Leftarrow$ :

- 1 (Point) Let  $\{X(F_i) : i \in I\}$  be a family of finite or pseudofinite (twisted) Chevalley groups of the fixed Lie type  $X$  and  $U$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $I$ . Then  $\prod_{i \in I} X(F_i)/U \cong X(\prod_{i \in I} F_i/U)$ .
- 2 (Keisler-Shelah) Two  $L$ -structures  $M$  and  $N$  are elementarily equivalent if and only if they have isomorphic ultrapowers.

$X(F)$  is pseudofinite: let  $F \equiv F_1$ , where  $F_1$  is an ultraproduct of finite fields. Let  $F^*$  and  $F_1^*$  be ultrapowers of  $F$  and  $F_1$  resp. Since  $F_1^* = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{F}_i/U$  for  $\mathbb{F}_i$  a finite field, by (1) and (2) we have:

$$X(F) \equiv X(F)^* \cong X(F^*) \cong X(F_1^*) \cong \prod_{i \in I} X(\mathbb{F}_i)/U.$$

# Idea of the proof of $\Rightarrow$ of Theorem 1.11

**Step 1:** Find an  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t.

1 For any non-abelian simple group  $G$ ,  $G \models \sigma$ .

2 Any finite group  $G$  satisfying  $\sigma$  has a non-abelian simple socle  $\text{Soc}(G)$ .

$$\sigma := \forall x, y \left[ (x \neq 1 \wedge C_G(x, y) \neq 1) \Rightarrow \left( \bigcap_{g \in G} (C_G(x, y) C_G(C_G(x, y)))^g = 1 \right) \right].$$

**Proof of (1):** Let  $G$  be as in (1) and  $x, y \in G$  s.t.  $x \neq 1$  and  $C_G(x, y) = H \neq 1$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} (HC_G(H))^g \trianglelefteq G \text{ so either } \bigcap_{g \in G} (HC_G(H))^g = 1 \text{ or } \bigcap_{g \in G} (HC_G(H))^g = G.$$

In the latter case  $H = G$  which is a contradiction as  $Z(G) = 1$ . □

**Step 2:** Every simple pseudofinite group is  $\equiv$  to an ultraproduct of finite simple groups.

■ These finite groups are of a given class; alternating groups may be easily eliminated:

**Exercise 1.12.** Let  $G = \prod_{i \in I} \text{Alt}_{n_i} / U$  be an infinite group s.t.  $n_i \geq 5$  and  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $I$ . Let  $(x_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Alt}_{n_i}$  s.t.  $x_i = (12)(34)$  for all  $i$ . Then the group generated by the conjugacy class of  $[(x_i)] \in G$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G$ .

■ One may prove that  $G$  is an ultraproduct of Chevalley groups of the same Lie type  $X$  with fixed Lie rank  $n$  over finite fields of increasing order.

## Some comments

- (Ryten) In Theorem 1.11, ' $\equiv$ ' may be replaced with ' $\cong$ '.

Recall that a group  $G$  is said to be of **centraliser dimension  $k$** , denoted by  $\text{cd}(G) = k$ , if the longest proper descending chain of centralisers in  $G$  has length  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (Uğurlu) A **definably simple** (no proper non-trivial definable normal subgroups) pseudofinite group  $G$  of finite centraliser dimension is simple.

Part 2

# Stable pseudofinite groups

# The radical

The **solvable radical**  $\text{Rad}(G)$  of a group  $G$ , if exists, is the maximal normal solvable subgroup of  $G$ . Note that  $\text{Rad}(G) \trianglelefteq G$ .

*Theorem 2.1 (Wilson 2006/2009).* —

1 There is an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -sentence  $\sigma_{56}$  s.t. if  $G$  is a finite group then

$$G \models \sigma_{56} \Leftrightarrow G \text{ is solvable.}$$

2 There is an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -formula  $\phi_R(x)$  s.t. if  $G$  is a finite group then

$$\text{Rad}(G) = \{g \in G : G \models \phi_R(g)\}.$$

**Note:** it is *not* true that a pseudofinite group  $G$  is solvable iff  $G \models \sigma_{56}$ —if  $G$  is an ultraproduct of finite solvable groups  $G_i$  without a common bound on their derived lengths then  $G_i \models \sigma_{56}$  and thus by Łos's Theorem  $G \models \sigma_{56}$ .

- Let  $G$  be a pseudofinite group and set  $R(G) = \phi_R(G)$ . We call  $R(G)$  the **radical** of  $G$ . As  $R(G) \trianglelefteq G$  is definable,  $\overline{G} = G/R(G)$  is either a pseudofinite group or a finite group. Further  $\overline{G}$  **semi-simple**, i.e., has no non-trivial abelian normal subgroups; note that semi-simplicity is a FO-expressible property by a sentence  $(\forall x \neq 1)(\exists y)([x, x^y] \neq 1)$ . Therefore,  $\phi_R$  allows us to split  $G$  into a semi-simple part  $G/R(G)$  and a 'solvable-like' part  $R(G)$ .

## Stability and generalisations; stable groups

**Definition 2.2.** A formula  $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is **unstable** (w.r.t  $T$ ) if there are  $\bar{a}_i \in M^n$ ,  $\bar{b}_i \in M^m$  ( $i \in \omega$ ) and  $M \models T$  such that for all  $i, j \in \omega$ ,  $M \models \phi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_j) \Leftrightarrow i < j$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A formula  $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  has **IP** (w.r.t  $T$ ) if there are  $M \models T$  and  $\bar{a}_i \in M^n$  s.t. for all  $S \subset \omega$  there is  $\bar{b}_S \in M^m$  with, for all  $i \in \omega$   $M \models \phi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_S) \Leftrightarrow i \in S$ .

# Chain conditions in stable groups

**Definition 2.4.** A family  $\{H_i : i \in I\}$  of subgroups of a group  $G$  is called **uniformly definable** if for some  $\phi(x, y)$  we have  $H_i = \phi(M, a_i)$  for some parameter  $a_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ .

**Trivial chain condition.** Let  $G$  be a stable group. For any formula  $\phi(x, y)$  there is  $n_\phi \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. every chain  $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots$  of subgroups of  $G$  uniformly defined by  $\phi$  has length at most  $n_\phi$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $G$  be an NIP group. For any formula  $\psi(x, y)$  there is  $n_\psi \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. if  $I$  is finite and  $\{H_i : i \in I\}$  is a family of subgroups of  $G$  uniformly definable by  $\psi$  then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i = \bigcap_{i \in I_0} H_i$  for some  $I_0 \subseteq I$  with  $|I_0| \leq n_\psi$ .

**Exercise 2.6.** Prove Proposition 2.5 via contradiction.

Combining Trivial chain condition and Proposition 2.5 we get:

**Theorem 2.7 (Baldwin and Saxl 1976).** Let  $G$  be a stable group. Then for any formula  $\phi(x, y)$  there is  $k_\phi \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t any descending chain of intersections of  $\phi$ -definable subgroups has length at most  $k_\phi$ .

- Applying Theorem 2.7 to the formula  $\phi(x, y)$  saying  $xy = yx$ , one observes that stable groups have descending chain condition on centralisers.

# $R(G)$ of a stable group $G$

*Theorem 2.8 (Khukhro 2009).* Let  $G$  be a group with  $\text{cd}(G) = k$  s.t. one of the following hold

- 1  $G$  is locally solvable.
- 2  $G$  is elementary equivalent to an ultraproduct of finite solvable groups.

Then  $G$  is solvable of  $k$ -bounded derived length.

Note: by Theorem 2.8, in a locally finite group  $G$  with  $\text{cd}(G) = k$ , then  $\text{Rad}(G)$  exists.

*Proposition 2.9.* Let  $G$  be a pseudofinite group with  $\text{cd}(G) = k$ . Then  $R(G)$  is solvable of  $k$ -bounded derived length.

*Proof.* We have  $\text{cd}(R(G)) \leq k$  and  $R(G) \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \text{Rad}(G_i)/U$ . By Łos's Theorem  $\text{cd}(\text{Rad}(G_i)) \leq k$ , for almost all  $i$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.8,  $\text{Rad}(G_i)$  is solvable of  $k$ -bounded derived length, for almost all  $i$ . It follows that  $R(G)$  is solvable of  $k$ -bounded derived length. □

- In particular, if  $G$  is a stable pseudofinite group then  $R(G)$  is solvable.



## Stable pseudofinite groups

*Theorem 2.10 (MacPherson and Tent 2007).* Let  $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i/U$  be a stable pseudofinite group. Then there is  $r$  s.t.  $[G : R(G)] \leq r$ , that is,  $G$  is solvable-by-finite.

*Proof.* Denote  $\bar{G} = G/R(G)$  and  $\bar{G}_i = G_i/\text{Rad}(G_i)$ . We know that, for all  $i$ ,  $\text{Soc}(\bar{G}_i) = \bar{S}_i = \bar{T}_{1,i} \times \cdots \times \bar{T}_{n,i}$  is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups.

■ *Step 1: There is  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $n \leq t$  for almost all  $i$ .*

Assume contrary. Then, for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , we may pick  $x_{j,i} \in \bar{T}_{j,i}$  so that

$$C_{\bar{S}_i}(x_{i,1}) > C_{\bar{S}_i}(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}) > \dots > C_{\bar{S}_i}(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,t})$$

is of length  $t$ . Thus,  $\bar{G}$  is not of finite centraliser dimension contradicting stability. □

■ *Step 2: There is  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t., for almost all  $i$ , if  $\bar{T}_{j,i} \cong \text{Alt}_n$ , then  $n \leq e$ , and if  $\bar{T}_{j,i} \cong X(F)$ , then the Lie rank  $n$  of  $X(F)$  is at most  $e$ .*

$\text{Alt}_n$  has a proper chain of centralisers

$$C_{\text{Alt}_n}(1) > C_{\text{Alt}_n}((12)(34)) > \cdots > C_{\text{Alt}_n}((12)(34), \dots, (k-3, k-1)(k-1, k)),$$

where  $k = n$  or  $k = n - 1$ . The length of such chain is  $\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ . Therefore, in the case  $\bar{T}_{j,i} \cong \text{Alt}_n$ , there must be bound  $e$  on  $n$ , as  $\bar{G}_i$  is of finite centraliser dimension.

Similarly for  $X(F)$ 's: in a group of Lie type  $X$ , there are elements whose centralisers contain a group of Lie type  $X$  of lower Lie rank and so one may construct a proper descending chain of centralisers whose length increases when the Lie rank increases.

## Stable pseudofinite groups cont.

- At this point we know that each, for almost all  $i$ ,

$$\text{Soc}(G_i/\text{Rad}(G_i)) = \text{Soc}(\overline{G}_i) = \overline{S}_i = \overline{T}_{1,i} \times \cdots \times \overline{T}_{t,i},$$

where each  $\overline{T}_{j,i}$  is either a sporadic group, an alternating group of bounded size or a (twisted) Chevalley group of bounded Lie rank, for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ .

- To finish the proof, we show that, for almost all  $i$ , there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $|\overline{S}_i| \leq \ell$ . Then one obtains an upper bound on  $|\text{Aut}(\overline{S}_i)|$ , and hence on  $|\overline{G}_i|$  as  $\overline{G}_i \leq \text{Aut}(\overline{S}_i)$ . Thus,  $\overline{G} = G/R(G)$  is finite as claimed.
  - Assume that no such bound exists, that is, for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|\overline{S}_i| > \ell$  for almost all  $i$ . Then, for almost all  $i$ , we may find (twisted) Chevalley subgroups of  $\overline{T}_{j,i}$  of fixed Lie type  $n$  and fixed Lie rank  $m$  over arbitrarily large finite fields. Such groups are known to be uniformly simple and thus they are uniformly definable in  $\overline{S}_i$ . But then, the stable group  $\overline{G}$  contains a definable (twisted) Chevalley group  $X(F)$  over a pseudofinite field—this contradicts stability.

**Remark.** Stability is used in the proof of Theorem 2.10 in exactly two places:

- To observe that  $G/R(G)$ , and thus  $G_i/\text{Rad}(G_i)$ 's (for almost all  $i$ ), are of finite centraliser dimension.
- To observe that  $|\overline{S}_i|$  has an upper bound, for almost all  $i$ .

## Some more general results

*Theorem 2.11 (MacPherson and Tent 2013).* Let  $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i/U$  be an NIP pseudofinite group. Then there is  $d$  s.t.  $[G : R(G)] \leq d$ .

**Note:** Theorem 2.12 does *not* imply that a pseudofinite NIP group is solvable-by-finite. However, if we add the assumption of centraliser chains, then this is the case:

*Theorem 2.12 (MacPherson and Tent 2013).* Let  $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i/U$  be an NIP pseudofinite group of finite centraliser dimension. Then there is  $d$  s.t.  $[G : R(G)] \leq d$ , that is,  $G$  is solvable-by-finite.

*Lemma 2.13.* Let  $G$  be a locally finite group with  $\text{cd}(G) = k$ . Denote  $\overline{G} = G/\text{Rad}(G)$ . Then the centraliser dimension of  $\overline{G}$  is  $k$ -bounded.

Combining the proof of Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 2.13 we get:

*Theorem 2.14.* Let  $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i/U$  be a pseudofinite group with  $\text{cd}(G) = k$ . Then the radical  $R(G)$  is a solvable group of  $k$ -bounded derived length and  $\prod_{i \in I} \text{Soc}(G_i/\text{Rad}(G_i))/U = \overline{L}_1 \times \cdots \times \overline{L}_t$  is a finite direct product of simple non-abelian groups  $\overline{L}_j$ , each of which is either a finite group or a (twisted) Chevalley group over a pseudofinite field.

**Exercises 2.15.** ■ Let  $M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$  be an ultraproduct of  $L$ -structures  $M_i$  over a non-principal ultrafilter  $U$  on  $I = \omega$ . Prove that  $M$  is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated.

- 1 Let  $M$  be a pseudofinite structure and  $f : M^k \Rightarrow M^k$  be a definable function. Prove that  $f$  is injective if and only if  $f$  is surjective.
- 2 A complete theory  $L$ -theory  $T$  is *pseudofinite* if every  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t.  $T \models \sigma$  has a finite model. Give an example of a complete theory which
  - 1 is pseudofinite.
  - 2 is not pseudofinite.
- 3 Let  $G$  be a pseudofinite group. Prove that any infinite definable subgroup of  $G$  and any infinite quotient of  $G$  by a definable normal subgroup are pseudofinite.
- 4 Let  $A$  be an infinite abelian group. Prove that TFAE:
  - 1  $A$  is definably simple.
  - 2  $A$  is torsion-free divisible.
  - 3  $A \cong (\mathbb{Q}, +)$ .
- 5 Let  $G$  be a stable group and let  $A \leq G$  be an abelian subgroup (not necessarily definable). (A) Prove that there is a definable abelian subgroup  $A' \geq A$  of  $G$ . (B) Prove that the statement above is also true for nilpotent and solvable subgroups (Hint: use induction on nilpotency/solvability class).
- 6 A group  $G$  is called *bounded* if the derived lengths of its solvable normal subgroups are bounded. Let  $G \cong \prod_{i \in I} G_i / U$  be a pseudofinite group of centraliser dimension  $k$ . Prove that then  $G$  is bounded.

**Exercises 2.15.** ■ Let  $M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i / U$  be an ultraproduct of  $L$ -structures  $M_i$  over a non-principal ultrafilter  $U$  on  $I = \omega$ . Prove that  $M$  is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated.

- 1 Let  $M$  be a pseudofinite structure and  $f : M^k \Rightarrow M^k$  be a definable function. Prove that  $f$  is injective if and only if  $f$  is surjective.
- 2 A complete theory  $L$ -theory  $T$  is *pseudofinite* if every  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  s.t  $T \models \sigma$  has a finite model. Give an example of a complete theory which
  - 1 is pseudofinite.
  - 2 is not pseudofinite.
- 3 Let  $G$  be a pseudofinite group. Prove that any infinite definable subgroup of  $G$  and any infinite quotient of  $G$  by a definable normal subgroup are pseudofinite.
- 4 Let  $A$  be an infinite abelian group. Prove that TFAE:
  - 1  $A$  is definably simple.
  - 2  $A$  is torsion-free divisible.
  - 3  $A \cong (\mathbb{Q}, +)$ .
- 5 Let  $G$  be a stable group and let  $A \leq G$  be an abelian subgroup (not necessarily definable). (A) Prove that there is a definable abelian subgroup  $A' \geq A$  of  $G$ . (B) Prove that the statement above is also true for nilpotent and solvable subgroups (Hint: use induction on nilpotency/solvability class).
- 6 A group  $G$  is called *bounded* if the derived lengths of its solvable normal subgroups are bounded. Let  $G \cong \prod_{i \in I} G_i / U$  be a pseudofinite group of centraliser dimension  $k$ . Prove that then  $G$  is bounded.