

# An excursion into Model Theory and its applications; Lecture 5

Zaniar Ghadernezhad

Imperial College London

LMS online lecture series – Fall 2020

Lecture 5

# Types and saturated models

## Types, informal

Consider  $L_{\text{oring}} = \{<, 0, 1, +, \cdot\}$ .

- Let  $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/U$  where  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter ( $\mathbb{R}^*$  called non-standard extension of  $\mathbb{R}$ ).
- By Łoś's Theorem we have  $\mathbb{R} \prec \mathbb{R}^*$ .
- In  $\mathbb{R}^*$  there exist infinitesimal positive numbers:  
There exists  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$  such that  $0 < a < \frac{1}{n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   
(recall the element  $\epsilon = [(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)]$ ).

Some proofs in  $\mathbb{R}$  can be simplified by working in  $\mathbb{R}^*$ , using infinitesimals.

- To understand a structure  $M$ , it can be useful to pass to some  $M^* \succ M$  since  $M^*$  contains “idealised elements”.
- The *idealised elements* in  $M^*$  are those that are described by (infinitely many)  $L(M)$ -formulas.

In  $\mathbb{R}$  (in  $L_{\text{oring}}$ ) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the formula  $\phi_n(x) : 0 < x < \frac{1}{n}$ . An infinitesimal element in  $\mathbb{R}^*$  is a realisation of  $\Sigma(x) := \{\phi_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Sets of formulas like  $\Sigma(x)$  are called *types*.

# Types, formal

Fix a language  $L$ , an  $L$ -theory  $T$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Below, all tuples  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{a}$  are  $n$ -tuples.

**Definition 5.1.** Consider a set  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  of  $L$ -formulas.

- A **realisation** of  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  in some  $M \models T$  is a tuple  $\bar{a} \in M^n$  such that  $M \models \phi(\bar{a})$  for all  $\phi(\bar{x}) \in \Sigma(\bar{x})$ . Notation:  $M \models \Sigma(\bar{x})$ .
- $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is **consistent** (with  $T$ ) if  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  has a realisation.
- $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is **complete** (modulo  $T$ ) if for every  $\psi(\bar{x})$ , either  $\Sigma(\bar{x}) \vdash \psi$  or  $\Sigma(\bar{x}) \vdash \neg\psi$ .
- A complete and consistent set of  $L$ -formulas  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  (in  $n$ -variables) is called an **( $n$ -)type** of  $T$ .

*Fact 5.2. Every consistent set  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  of formulas is contained in a type (Zorn's Lemma).*

**Example 5.3.**

- In  $\mathbb{R}$  (in  $L_{\text{oring}}$ ) the set  $\Sigma(x) = \{0 < x < \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a 1-type. (Note that  $x < \frac{1}{3}$  is a shorthand for  $(1 + 1 + 1) \cdot x < 1$ ).
- Any infinitesimal element of  $\mathbb{R}^*$  is a realisation of  $\Sigma(x)$ .

**Exercise 5.4.** Prove that  $\Sigma(x) = \{0 < x < \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is complete modulo RCF.

# Consistency of types

*Proposition 5.5.* A set of formulas  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is consistent if and only if it is finitely consistent (with  $T$ ).

Proof.

- Set  $L' := L \cup C_n$ , where  $C_n$  is set of size  $n$  of new constants.
- Set  $T' := T \cup \Sigma(\bar{c})$ , where  $\Sigma(\bar{c}) := \{\phi(\bar{c}) : \phi(\bar{x}) \in \Sigma(\bar{x})\}$ .
- When  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is finitely consistent, then  $T'$  is finitely consistent.  
(A realisation  $M \models \Sigma(\bar{a})$  yields a model of  $T \cup \Sigma(\bar{c})$  by setting  $\bar{c}^M = \bar{a}$ .)
- Compactness Theorem implies  $T'$  is consistent, i.e., there exists  $M' \models T'$ .  
Hence  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is consistent (with  $T$ ). □

Example 5.6.

- Consider again  $T = \text{RCF}$  (in  $L_{\text{oring}}$ ) and  $\Sigma(x) = \{0 < x < \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- Any finite subset of  $\Sigma(x)$  has a realization  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ : just take  $a$  small enough.
- Thus  $\Sigma(x)$  is consistent.

# Completeness of types

- Proving completeness of sets of formulas is often hard: normally, we need to rely on good quantifier elimination results (compare Exercise 5.4).

An easy way to obtain types:

**Definition 5.7.** Given a structure  $M$  and  $\bar{a} \in M^n$ , set  $\text{tp}(\bar{a}) := \{\phi(\bar{x}) \mid M \models \phi(\bar{a})\}$  (the **type of**  $\bar{a}$ ).

**Exercise 5.8.** Prove that every type of a theory  $T$  is the type of some  $\bar{a}$  for some  $\bar{a} \in M^n$  in some  $M \models T$ .

**Exercise 5.9.** Let  $M_1, M_2 \models T$  and  $\bar{a}_i \in M_i^n$ . The type  $\text{tp}(\bar{a}_i)$  can be identified with the complete  $L(C_n)$ -theory  $\text{Th}(M, \bar{a}_i)$ . In particular,  $\text{tp}(\bar{a}_1) = \text{tp}(\bar{a}_2)$  if and only if  $(M_1, \bar{a}_1) \equiv_{L(C_n)} (M_2, \bar{a}_2)$

**Exercise 5.10.** Write some types of  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z})$  in the language  $L = \{+\}$ . Find one that is *not* realised in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Isolated types

We have seen how to realise a type.

**Definition 5.11.** Consider a set  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  of  $L$ -formulas and  $T$  an  $L$ -theory. A model  $M \models T$  **omits**  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  if  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is not realised in  $M$ .

**Example 5.12.** Again in  $L_{\text{oring}}$  and  $T = RCF$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}$  omits  $\Sigma(x) = \{0 < x < \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**Definition 5.13.** Suppose  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is a consistent set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas. An  $L$ -formula  $\psi(\bar{x})$  **isolates**  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  if  $T \cup \{\psi(\bar{x})\}$  is consistent and for all  $\phi(\bar{x}) \in \Sigma(\bar{x})$

$$T \vdash \forall \bar{x}(\psi(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \phi(\bar{x})).$$

- If  $\psi(\bar{x})$  isolates  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  then a model of  $T$  that realises  $\psi(\bar{x})$  realises also  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$ .
- If  $T$  is a complete theory every isolated  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is realised in  $T$  (in every model).

# Omitting Types Theorem

*Theorem 5.14.* Let  $T$  be an  $L$ -theory in a countable language  $L$  and  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  a consistent set of  $L$ -formulas. If  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is not isolated then  $T$  has model which omits  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $\bar{x}$  is 1-tuple. Let  $L(C) := L \cup C$  where  $C$  is a countable set of new constants. Goal: Find  $T^*$  an extension of  $T$  with the following properties:

- 1 For every  $L(C)$ -formulas  $\psi(x)$  there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $\exists x.\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi(c) \in T^*$ .
- 2 For every  $c \in C$  there is  $\theta(\bar{x}) \in \Sigma(\bar{x})$  such that  $\neg\theta(c) \in T^*$ .

If  $T^*$  is consistent then we are done! **Take a countable model that contain only the constants (use a Downward Löwenheim-Skolem and Tarski's test)**

Construct  $T^*$  inductively. Enumerate  $C$  and  $L(C)$ -formulas  $\{\psi_i : i \in \omega\}$ .

Construct  $T =: T_0 \subseteq T_1 \subseteq \dots$  of consistent extension of  $T$  by finite set of  $L(C)$ -sentences satisfying 1 and 2.

If  $T_{2k}$  is constructed pick  $c \in C$  that does not occur in  $T_{2k} \cup \{\psi_i(\bar{x})\}$  and consider  $T_{2k+1} := T_{2k} \cup \{\exists x.\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi(c)\}$ . Suppose  $T_{2k+1}$  is constructed. Note that  $T_{2k+1} = T \cup \{\delta(c_k, \bar{c})\}$ . The formula  $\exists \bar{y}\delta(x, \bar{y})$  does not isolate  $\Sigma(x)$ . Hence there exists  $\theta(x) \in \Sigma(x)$  such that  $T \cup \{\exists \bar{y}\delta(x, \bar{y}) \wedge \neg\theta(x)\}$  is consistent. Thus  $T_{2k+2} := T_{2k+1} \cup \{\neg\theta(c_k)\}$  is consistent.  $\square$



## Types over a set

**Definition 5.15.** Fix an  $L$ -structure  $M$  and  $B \subseteq M$ .

- A **type over  $B$**  is a type in the language  $L(B)$  of the  $L(B)$ -theory  $\text{Th}(M)$ .
- For  $M' \succ M$  and  $\bar{a} \in (M')^n$  the **type of  $\bar{a}$  over  $B$**   $\text{tp}(\bar{a}/B)$  is the type of  $\bar{a}$  in the language  $L(B)$ .

**Example 5.16.** Let  $L = \{<\}$  and  $T = \text{DLO}$ .

- The only 1-type of  $\{x = x\}$  (that's essentially the only consistent formula we can write!). The only 2-types are  $\{x = y\}$ ,  $\{x < y\}$  and  $\{y < x\}$ .
- Any real number  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  yields a type  $p_r(x) := \text{tp}(r/\mathbb{Q})$ .  
Example:  $p_\pi(x)$  contains  $3 < x$ ,  $3.1 < x$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x < 4$ ,  $x < 3.2$ ,  $\dots$
- For  $r < r'$ , we have  $p_r \neq p_{r'}$ , since for  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $r < q < r'$ , we have  $(q < x) \in p_{r'}$  but  $(q < x) \notin p_r$ .
- But there exist even more 1-types over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , e.g.  
 $\{0 < x\} \cup \{x < q \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}, q > 0\}$ . **Exercise:** make a list of *all* 1-types over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Exercise 5.17.** Let  $K \models \text{ACF}$ . Show that 1-types over  $K$  correspond to prime ideals of  $K[X]$ . (Hint: map  $I \mapsto \{P(x) = 0 \mid P \in I\} \cup \{Q(x) \neq 0 \mid Q \notin I\}$ .)  
Generalise this to 1-types over  $L$  when  $L$  is a sub field of  $K$ .

# Saturated models

**Definition 5.18.** A structure  $M$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated if for every  $A \subseteq M$  of size  $|A| < \kappa$ , every type over  $A$  is realised in  $M$ ; it is saturated if it is  $|M|$ -saturated.

## Examples 5.19.

- $\mathbb{Q}^{alg} \models \text{ACF}$  is not  $\aleph_0$ -saturated:  $\{P(x) \neq 0 \mid P \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \setminus \{0\}\}$  is not realised (what ideal corresponds to this type)?
- The algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}(T_1, T_2, \dots)$  is saturated.
- $\mathbb{C} \models \text{ACF}$  is saturated.

**Proposition 5.20.** Suppose  $M$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated,  $N \equiv M$  and cardinality of  $|N| \leq \kappa$ . Then there is an elementary embedding of  $N$  into  $M$ .

**Proof.** Enumerate elements of  $N := \{n_i : i \in I\}$  where  $|I| \leq \kappa$ . Consider  $\Sigma = \{\phi(x_i) : N \models \phi(n_i), i \in I\}$ . Since  $N \equiv M$  then  $\Sigma$  is finitely satisfiable in  $M$ . Since  $M$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated then  $\Sigma$  is realised in  $M$ . Now define a function between  $N$  and satisfaction of  $\Sigma$  and show it is an elementary map.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.21.** Suppose  $M$  and  $N$  are two saturated structures and  $N \equiv M$ . Then  $M$  and  $N$  are isomorphic.

# Saturated models

**Example 5.22.** Let  $L = \{<\}$ . Recall  $\mathbb{Q} \prec \mathbb{R}$ . Then:

- $\mathbb{Q}$  is saturated ( $\aleph_0$ -saturated);
- $\mathbb{Q}$  is not  $\aleph_1$ -saturated:  $\text{tp}(\pi/\mathbb{Q})$  is not realised;
- $\mathbb{R}$  is not  $\aleph_1$ -saturated:  $\{0 < x < q \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}\}$  is not realised.

An  $\aleph_1$ -saturated extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  will contain infinite points, infinitesimals, ...  
It must have size  $\geq 2^{\aleph_0}$  (as that's the number of types over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

**Exercise 5.23.** Suppose  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $M_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is a family of  $L$ -structures. Show  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i / U$  is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated.

**Proposition 5.24.** For all  $M$  and all infinite  $\kappa$ , there is a  $\kappa$ -saturated  $M' \succ M$ .

**Proof.** We obtain the desired structure as union of an elementary chain of length  $\kappa^+$  of structures ( $\kappa^+$  is the first cardinal bigger than  $\kappa$ ). Let  $M_0 = M$  and in a successor step  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  choose  $M_\alpha$  to be the model of  $\text{Diag}_{\text{el}}(M_\beta) \cup \bigcup_p p(x_p)$  for all 1-types  $p$  over sets  $A \subseteq M$  such that  $|A| < \kappa$ . In the limit ordinal  $M_\alpha := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$ . Now let  $M' = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa^+} M_\alpha$ . Show that  $M'$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated (use the fact that  $\kappa^+$  is a regular cardinal). □

## Exercises 5.25.

- Let  $L = L_{\text{ring}}$ ,  $K \models \text{ACF}$ . Let  $B \subseteq K$  be a subset. Let  $K_0$  be the subfield of  $K$  generated by  $B$ . Consider the type  $\text{tp}(a/B)$  of an element  $a \in K$ . Prove:
  - If  $a$  is algebraic over  $K_0$ , then there exist only finitely many  $a' \in K$  with  $\text{tp}(a'/B) = \text{tp}(a/B)$ . What ideal corresponds to  $\text{tp}(a/B)$ ?
  - If  $a$  is not algebraic over  $K_0$ , then for any  $a'$  that is not algebraic over  $K_0$ , we have  $\text{tp}(a'/B) = \text{tp}(a/B)$ . What ideal corresponds to  $\text{tp}(a/B)$ ?
  - What is the unique non-realised type over  $K$ ? Why?
- Give a list of all 3-types of DLO.
- Consider  $\mathbb{R} \models \text{DLO}$ . Give a list of all 1-types over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and over  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $L = \{<\}$ .
- Call a graph **random** if for every disjoint finite sets of vertices  $A, B$ , there is a vertex  $v$  connected to every point of  $A$  but to no point of  $B$ . Let  $G, H$  be random graphs.
  - Axiomatise the theory RG of random graphs (with  $L = \{E\}$ ).
  - Prove that the family  $\mathcal{I}$  of all partial isomorphisms  $G \rightarrow H$  with finite domains, i.e., the isomorphisms between finite (induced) subgraphs of  $G$  and  $H$ , has the back-and-forth property.
  - Hence RG has quantifier elimination. List all 1-types over  $G$ .