

# An Excursion Into Model Theory and Its Applications; Lectures 1

Ulla Karhumäki

University of Helsinki

LMS online course Fall 2020

Lecture 0

# Introduction

# What is model theory?

- Model theory concerns the interplay between mathematical structures (e.g. groups, fields, rings, graphs, ordered sets. . .) and the *first-order language* which is used to describe these structures.
  - A study of *definable sets* = *solution sets of first-order formulas* in a structure.
    - Example:  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x \neq 0 \wedge \exists y. y \cdot y = x\}$  is a definable set in  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined by a first order formula  $x \neq 0 \wedge \exists y. y \cdot y = x$ .
- Benefit #1: transfer results across structures.
  - Some structures are indistinguishable by first order formulas (e.g. fields  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Thus, if a result can be encoded in a formula, proving it in one structure also proves it in many others (e.g. Lefschetz principle).
- Benefit #2: results about definable sets can be very general.
  - Hope: describe *all* definable sets in a given structure.
    - Hopeless in general: a priori, definable sets can be *anything*. Instead: identify classes of 'tame' structures where definable sets can be controlled in some way.
  - Typical examples of tameness:
    - 1 Definable sets can be defined with simple formulas (e.g. no quantifiers).
    - 2 Definable sets have invariants (e.g. dimension).
    - 3 Existence of algorithms to decide (e.g. to decide whether a definable set is empty.)

Lecture 1

# First-order languages and structures

# Languages

- Not every formula makes sense in every structure (e.g. “ $\exists y.y \cdot y = x$ ” makes sense in a field but not in a vector space).
- A language specifies which symbols are defined in a given structure and hence will appear in a formula.

**Definition 1.1.** A **language** is a set  $L = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, f_1, f_2, \dots, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$  of **relation** symbols  $R_i$ , **function** symbols  $f_j$ , and **constant** symbols  $c_k$ . Relation and function symbols have **arities**  $n_i, m_j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Examples 1.2.** ■  $L_{\text{group}} := \{1, \cdot, ^{-1}\}$ , the language of *groups*: 1 is a constant symbol,  $\cdot$  is a binary function symbol and  $^{-1}$  is a unary function symbol.

- $L_{\text{ag}} := \{0, +, -\}$ , the language of *abelian groups*: 0 is a constant symbol,  $+$  is a binary function symbol,  $-$  is a unary function symbol.
- $L_{\text{ring}} := L_{\text{ag}} \cup \{1, \cdot\}$ , the language of *rings*: 1 is a constant symbol,  $\cdot$  is a binary function symbol.
- $L_{\text{graph}} := \{E\}$ , the language of *graphs*:  $E$  is a binary relation symbol.
- $L_{\text{ord}} := \{<\}$ , the language of *ordered sets*:  $<$  is a binary relation symbol.
- $L_{\text{oag}} := L_{\text{ag}} \cup L_{\text{ord}}$ , the language of *ordered abelian groups*.
- $L_{\text{oring}} := L_{\text{ring}} \cup L_{\text{ord}}$ , the language of *ordered rings*.

# Structures

- An  $L$ -structure specifies the *meaning* of the symbols in the language  $L$ .

**Definition 1.3.** An  $L$ -structure is a set  $M$ , (**domain** or **universe**), with:

- For each  $n$ -ary relation symbol  $R$  in  $L$ , a subset  $R^M \subseteq M^n$ .
- For each  $m$ -ary function symbol  $f$  in  $L$ , a function  $f^M: M^m \rightarrow M$ .
- For each constant symbol  $c$  in  $L$ , an element  $c^M \in M$ .

$R^M, f^M, c^M$  are called the **interpretations** of  $R, f, c$  in  $M$ .

Most of the time, we write  $f$  and  $R$  instead of  $f^M$  and  $R^M$ .

**Warning:** we denote by  $M$  both the domain and the  $L$ -structure.

**Example 1.4.** Any group is naturally an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -structure, any ring with unit is naturally an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure, etc.

**Example 1.5.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is naturally an  $L_{\text{oag}} = \{0, +, -, <\}$ -structure:

- The interpretation  $0^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of the symbol  $0 \in L_{\text{oag}}$  is the usual  $0$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- The interpretation  $+^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $+ \in L_{\text{oag}}$  is addition, i.e.  $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- The interpretation  $-^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $- \in L_{\text{oag}}$  is the map  $x \mapsto -x$ .
- The interpretation  $<^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $<$  is the set  $\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a < b\}$ .

**Warning:** do not confuse  $+$  the *symbol* in  $L_{\text{oag}}$  with  $+$  the *function*  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

# Substructures

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $L$  be a language and  $M$  be an  $L$ -structure. A subset  $N \subseteq M$  is an  **$L$ -substructure** if it contains  $c^M$  for all constant symbols  $c \in L$  and if it is closed under  $f^M$  for all function symbols  $f \in L$ .

Note: such an  $N$  is an  $L$ -structure in a natural way.

Most of the time we simply write substructure instead of  $L$ -substructure.

Note: if the language  $L$  has no constant symbol, then the empty set is the domain of a substructure of an  $L$ -structure  $M$ .

**Examples 1.7.** ■ A substructure of a group  $G$ , seen as an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -structure, is a subgroup. Remember that the notion of substructure is sensitive to the language! E.g. an  $L = \{1, \cdot\}$ -substructure of a group  $G$ , seen as an  $L$ -structure, is a submonoid of  $G$  containing  $1^G$  and an  $L = \{\cdot\}$ -substructure of a group  $G$ , seen as an  $L$ -structure, can be empty.

■ A substructure of a field  $F$ , seen as an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure, is a subring.

**Example 1.8.** Let  $L_{\text{nuring}} = L_{\text{ring}} \setminus \{1\}$  and look at  $\mathbb{Z}$  as an  $L_{\text{nuring}}$ -structure. Its substructures are  $k\mathbb{Z}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ : they contain 0 and are closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\cdot$ .

# Homomorphisms & embeddings

**Definition 1.9.** Map  $h : M \rightarrow N$  between two  $L$ -structures is a **homomorphism** if

- 1  $h(c^M) = c^N$  for all constant symbols  $c \in L$ ,
- 2  $h(f^M(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f^N(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))$  for all function symbols  $f \in L$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$ ,
- 3 if  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^M$  then  $(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_m)) \in R^N$  for all relation symbols  $R \in L$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in M$ .

An injective homomorphism  $\eta : M \rightarrow N$  is an  **$L$ -embedding** if in addition  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^M \Leftrightarrow (\eta(a_1), \dots, \eta(a_m)) \in R^N$ .

A bijective  $L$ -embedding is an  **$L$ -isomorphism** and an  $L$ -isomorphism  $i : M \rightarrow M$  is an  **$L$ -automorphism**.

We often drop the  $L$ -prefix and just write embedding, isomorphism, etc.

**Examples 1.10.** ■ An  $L_{\text{group}}$ -homomorphism of groups  $G$  and  $H$ , seen as  $L_{\text{group}}$ -structures, is just a homomorphism of groups.

■ An  $L_{\text{ord}}$ -homomorphism of  $L_{\text{ord}}$ -structures  $M$  and  $N$  is an order-preserving map.

**Example 1.11.** If  $N \subseteq M$  and the inclusion map is an  $L$ -embedding then  $N$  is a substructure of  $M$ .

# Formulas, informally

## ■ Examples:

- 1 “ $x = 0 \vee \neg \exists y : y + y = x$ ” is an  $L_{\text{ag}}$ -formula on  $x$  (e.g. in  $\mathbb{Z}$  it says “ $x$  is either 0 or  $x$  is odd”).
- 2 “ $\forall x : (x > 0 \rightarrow \exists y : y \cdot y = x)$ ” is an  $L_{\text{oring}}$ -formula which does not depend on a variable. Therefore it is either true or false in a structure (e.g. true in  $\mathbb{R}$  but false in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

## ■ Informally, a first order $L$ -formula is a syntactically correct finite string build using:

- 1 symbols of the language  $L$ ,
- 2 symbols for variables,
- 3 equality symbol  $=$ ; logical connectives  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  and  $\neg$ ; quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  and parentheses  $(, )$ .

## Terms & formulas

Let  $L$  be a language and  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a tuple of **variables**.

**Definition 1.12.** An  **$L$ -term** in  $\bar{x}$  is one of the following:

- a variable  $x_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- a constant symbol  $c \in L$ ;
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  where  $f \in L$  is an  $m$ -ary function symbol and  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  are  $L$ -terms in  $\bar{x}$ .

**Example 1.13.**  $0 + x$  is an  $L_{\text{ag}}$ -term in  $x$ ;  $1 + (x \cdot y)$  is an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -term in  $(x, y)$ .

**Definition 1.14.** An  **$L$ -formula** in  $\bar{x}$  is one of the following:

- **atomic:**  $t_1 = t_2$  where  $t_1, t_2$  are  $L$ -terms in  $\bar{x}$ , or  $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  where  $R \in L$  is an  $n$ -ary relation symbol and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are  $L$ -terms in  $\bar{x}$ ;
- $\phi \wedge \psi, \phi \vee \psi, \neg \phi$  where  $\phi, \psi$  are  $L$ -formulas in  $\bar{x}$ ;
- $\exists y. \phi, \forall y. \phi$  where  $y$  is a variable and  $\phi$  is an  $L$ -formula in  $\bar{x}y$ .

An  $L$ -formula is an  **$L$ -sentence** if  $\bar{x} = \emptyset$  (i.e.  $n = 0$ ).

**Examples 1.15.**  $x + y < 1$  is an atomic  $L_{\text{oring}}$ -formula in  $(x, y)$ ;  $\forall x. x = x$  is a sentence (in any language!);  $\forall x. \exists y. y \cdot y = x$  is an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -sentence.

## Some notation about terms & formulas

- If  $\phi$  is a formula in  $\bar{x}$  we often write  $\phi(\bar{x})$ ; similarly for terms.
- We shall often use many abbreviations in notation:
  - $\phi \rightarrow \psi$  in place of  $(\neg\phi) \vee \psi$ ;
  - $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$  in place of  $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ ;
  - $t_1 \neq t_2$  in place of  $\neg t_1 = t_2$ ;
  - $\top$  is any formula which is always true (e.g.  $\forall x : x = x$ ).
  - $\perp = \neg\top$  is always false.
  - for well understood symbols:
    - $t_1 \leq t_2$  in place of  $t_1 < t_2 \vee t_1 = t_2$ ;
    - $t_1 + t_2$  in place of the more accurate “ $+(t_1, t_2)$ ”;
    - $t_1 \not< t_2$  in place of  $\neg t_1 < t_2 \dots$
- We add parentheses where appropriate to prevent ambiguities:
  - $(1 + x) \cdot x$  and  $1 + (x \cdot x)$  are different terms;
  - $(\perp \vee \top) \wedge x = y$  and  $\perp \vee (\top \wedge x = y)$  are different formulas.
- Some more dangerous shortcuts:
  - if  $\cdot \in L$ ,  $xy$  in place of  $x \cdot y$ ,  $x^2$  in place of  $x \cdot x$ , and similarly  $x^3 \dots$  (but not  $x^y!$ );
  - if  $+$   $\in L$  and  $\cdot \notin L$ ,  $2x$  in place of  $x + x$ , and similarly  $3x \dots$  (but not  $xy!$ ).

# Interpretation of formulas

An  $L$ -formula can be naturally interpreted in an  $L$ -structure  $M$ . E.g. interpreting  $L_{\text{ag}}$ -formula  $\psi(x) = \exists y : 2y = x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we may ask for which  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  it is true.

**Definition 1.16.** Given an  $L$ -term  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , its **interpretation**  $t^M(\bar{a})$  is:

- $t^M(\bar{a}) := a_i$ , if  $t = x_i$ ;
- $t^M(\bar{a}) := c^M$ , if  $t = c$  for some constant  $c \in L$ ;
- $t^M(\bar{a}) := f^M(t_1^M(\bar{a}), \dots, t_m^M(\bar{a}))$ , if  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ .

**Example 1.17.** Let  $t$  be  $x + y$ : then  $t^{\mathbb{Q}}(2, 4) = 6$ ,  $t^{\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{2}, \bar{4}) = \bar{1}$ .

**Definition 1.18.** Given an  $L$ -formula  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we write  $M \models \phi(\bar{a})$  when:

- $\phi$  is  $t_1 = t_2$  and  $t_1^M(\bar{a}) = t_2^M(\bar{a})$ ;
  - $\phi$  is  $R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $(t_1^M(\bar{a}), \dots, t_m^M(\bar{a})) \in R^M$ ;
  - $\phi$  is  $\neg\psi$  and  $M \not\models \psi(\bar{a})$ ;  $\phi$  is  $\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$  and  $M \models \psi_i(\bar{a})$  for both  $i = 1, 2$ ; similarly for  $\psi_1 \vee \psi_2$
  - $\phi$  is  $\exists y.\psi(\bar{x}, y)$  and there is  $b \in M$  with  $M \models \psi(\bar{a}, b)$ ; similarly for  $\forall y.\psi(\bar{x}, y)$ .
- $M \models \phi(\bar{a})$  says " $\phi(\bar{a})$  **holds in**  $M$ " and, if  $\phi$  is a sentence, " $M$  **is a model of**  $\phi$ ".

**Example 1.19.** Let  $\phi$  be  $\exists y.(y \cdot y = x)$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z} \models \phi(9)$  but  $\mathbb{Z} \not\models \phi(8)$ ; Let  $\psi$  be  $\forall x.(x = 0 \vee \exists y.xy = 1)$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z} \not\models \psi$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \models \psi$ .

# Definable sets and parameters

**Definition 1.20.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -structure. A set  $D \subseteq M^n$  is said to be **definable** if there is an  $L$ -formula  $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and parameters  $\bar{b}$  from  $M$  such that

$$D = \phi(M, \bar{b}) := \{\bar{a} \in M^n : M \models \phi(\bar{a}, \bar{b})\}.$$

If  $\bar{b}$  may be taken from  $B \subseteq M$ , we say that  $D$  is  **$B$ -definable**. In particular,  **$\emptyset$ -definable** (or  **$L$ -definable**) means that there are no parameters. Convenient to add parameters, passing to  $L_B := L \cup \{c_b : b \in B\}$  ( $L$  plus new constant symbols  $c_b$ ). Then  $M_B$  is  $M$  seen as an  $L_B$ -structure and  $L_B$ -definable sets in  $M_B$  are  $B$ -definable in  $M$ .

**Example 1.21.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}$  as an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure. The set  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable by the formula  $\phi(x) := \exists y. y^2 = x$ .

**Example 1.22.** Fix a group  $G$ , seen as an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -structure.

- The centraliser  $C_G(g)$  of a given element  $g \in G$  is definable, using the parameter  $g$ , by the formula  $\phi(x, g) := xg = gx$ .
- The center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable by the formula  $\psi(y) := \forall x \phi(x, y)$ .

## More examples of definable sets

**Example 1.23.** Fix a graph  $\Gamma$ , seen as an  $L_{\text{graph}}$ -structure.

- The set of elements of  $\Gamma$  connected by an edge to at least two distinct elements is  $\emptyset$ -definable by the formula  $\phi(x) := \exists y_1, y_2 (y_1 \neq y_2 \wedge E(x, y_1) \wedge E(x, y_2))$ .
- Given an element  $a \in \Gamma$ , the set of elements of  $\Gamma$  at distance  $\leq n$  from  $a$  is definable by the formula

$$\psi(x, a) := \exists y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-2} E(y_i, y_{i+1}) \wedge E(a, y_1) \wedge E(y_{n-1}, x) \right).$$

**Example 1.24.** Fix a field  $K$ , seen as an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure.

- Some  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -definable sets in  $K$ :
  - The zero set  $\{\bar{a} \in K^n \mid f(\bar{a}) = 0\}$  of a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{x}]$ .  
(Note that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be written as the  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -term  $\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_n$ .)
  - Boolean combinations of the above.

Note: These are *all* sets that are  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -definable without quantifiers.

- Let  $f \in K[\bar{x}]$ . Then  $\{\bar{a} \in K^n \mid f(\bar{a}) = 0\}$  is  $K$ -definable.

**Exercises 1.25.** ■ Describe a language for structures in your research. Multiple **sorts** (i.e. several domains) may be helpful; see the example of **vector spaces** on Wikipedia.

- 1 Let  $R$  be a ring, seen as an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure. Is it true that  $S \subseteq R$  is a substructure  $\Leftrightarrow S$  is an ideal?
- 2 Look at  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure. Is  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a substructure? Write a language  $L_{\text{field}}$  interpret its symbols in  $\mathbb{C}$  so that the substructures of  $\mathbb{C}$  are exactly the subfields of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- 3 Consider  $\mathbb{R}$  as an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -structure. Are sentences  $\exists x.x + x = x \cdot x$ ,  $\forall x.\exists y.x + y = x \cdot y$  true in  $\mathbb{R}$ ? Is there an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -formula  $\phi(x, y)$  such that  $\mathbb{R} \models \phi(a, b)$  iff  $a < b$ ?
- 4 Write down an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -sentence  $\sigma$  such that  $G \models \sigma$  iff  $G$  is abelian.
- 5 Find a sentence  $\sigma$  in a language with only a unary function symbol  $f$  such that  $\sigma$  has infinite but no finite models.
- 6 Let  $G$  be a group, seen as an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -structure. Express “ $G$  is divisible”. (Hint: you need infinitely many sentences.)
- 7 Express “there are exactly two  $y$ ’s s.t.  $M \models \phi(y)$ ” (abbreviated as  $\exists=2$ ).
- 8 Let  $G$  be a group, seen as an  $L_{\text{group}}$ -structure. Assume that there is a finite bound on the length of any chain of centralisers in  $G$ . Prove:  $C_G(A)$  is definable for *any*  $A \subseteq G$ .
- 9 Let  $L = L_{\text{oring}} \cup \{f\}$ , where  $f$  is a unary function symbol. Write an  $L$ -sentence  $\sigma$  such that  $M \models \sigma$  if and only if  $f$  is continuous at 0.
- 10 Prove: For any  $L_{\text{oring}}$ -formula  $\phi(\bar{x})$ , there is an  $L_{\text{ring}}$ -formula  $\psi(\bar{x})$  such that  $\mathbb{R} \models \forall \bar{x}.\phi(\bar{x}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\bar{x})$ .

## Further examples of structures

- We have seen graphs, groups, rings, ordered sets, ordered groups and rings.
- Vector spaces over  $K$ :  $L_{K\text{-vect}} = L_{\text{ag}} \cup \{\lambda_r\}_{r \in K}$ , where each  $\lambda_r$  is a unary function symbol representing “scalar multiplication by  $r$ ”.
- Action of  $G$  on a set:  $L_G = \{\lambda_g\}_{g \in G}$ , where each  $\lambda_g$  is a unary function.
- If  $G$  is finitely generated by  $S \subseteq G$ :  $L_S = \{\lambda_g\}_{g \in S}$ . Note how we can now talk about e.g. actions of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  but with  $p$  *not fixed*.
- Group acting on a set: two sorts  $G$  and  $X$ , language  $L_{\text{ag}}$  on the sort  $G$ , plus function symbol  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$ .
- Group with representation: two sorts  $G$  and  $V$ , language  $L_{\text{ag}}$  on  $G$ , language  $L_{K\text{-vect}}$  on  $V$ , plus function symbol  $\rho : G \times V \rightarrow V$ . Since the two languages overlap, we need to write  $\{0_G, +_G, -_G, 0_V, +_V, -_V, \rho\}$  to distinguish the symbols on the sort  $G$  from the symbols on the sort  $V$ .
- Vector spaces: sorts  $K$  and  $V$ , language  $L_{\text{ring}}$  on  $K$ ,  $L_{\text{ag}}$  on  $V$ , plus function symbol  $\lambda : K \times V \rightarrow V$ . Again  $\{0_K, 1_K, +_K, \dots, 0_V, +_V, \dots, \lambda\}$ .
- Profinite groups: one sort of each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  representing “cosets of open normal subgroups of index  $\leq n$ ”; binary relations  $\leq_{n,m}$  (inclusion of underlying subgroup); binary functions  $\cdot_n$  (product inside underlying subgroup); binary relations  $C_{n,m}$  (coset inclusion). Note how this is *dual* to groups: a substructure  $H$  of a profinite group  $G$  corresponds to an epimorphism  $G \rightarrow H$ .