

## BCQT - Bicatagories - Lecture 2 - Enriched categories

### 3) ENRICHED CATEGORIES

DEFN Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a monoidal caty. A  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category  $\mathcal{C}$  (or  $\mathcal{V}$ -category) involves:

- a collection of objects  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- for  $x, y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , a hom-object  $\mathcal{C}(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}$
- for  $x, y, z \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , a composition map  
$$m_{xyz}: \mathcal{C}(y, z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, z) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}$$
- for  $x \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , an identities map  
$$e_x: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, x)$$

satisfying associativity and unitality axioms.

=

Just as a caty is a "many object monoid", so a  $\mathcal{V}$ -caty is a "many object monoid in  $\mathcal{V}$ ".

-

#### Examples

- A Set-caty is just a (locally small) ordinary category;
- A kVect-caty is a  $k$ -linear caty;
- A  $[\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$ -caty is a simplicially enriched category;
- A Cat-caty is a 2-category;
- A Ch(k-Vect)-caty is a dg-category;

In all of these examples, a  $\mathcal{V}$ -caty is just an ordinary caty

which has been "enhanced" somehow. The following makes this precise.

DEFN Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathcal{V}$ -caty. The underlying ordinary category of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the caty  $\mathcal{C}_0$  with the same objects, and

$$\frac{\text{arrows } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_0}{\text{maps } I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x,y) \text{ in } \mathcal{V}}$$

In this situation, say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichment of  $\mathcal{C}_0$ .

Exercise: what are  $\mathcal{V}^{\text{IN}}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^{\text{IKI}}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{E}]$ -catys concretely?

Let's look at some novel examples:

Ex A caty enriched in  $(\text{Set}^{\rightarrow}, \times, 1)$  has an obj. set  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ ; for  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$  a pair of homsets  $\mathcal{C}_0(x, y) \xrightarrow{J_{xy}} \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ . With a little thought: comp + identities provide caty structure on  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , so that  $J: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  is an identity on objs functor. So a  $(\text{Set}^{\rightarrow})$ -caty is a pair of catys  $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1$  with an i.o.o. functor between them.

Ex Consider Subset whose objs are pairs  $(X \in \text{Set}, U \subseteq X)$ , and maps are  $f^h$ s preserving the subset. This has a monoidal structure:

$$(U \subseteq X) \otimes (V \subseteq Y) = (U \times Y \cup X \times V) \subseteq X \times Y$$

A (Subset)-caty is a caty  $\mathcal{C}_0$  together with a

subset  $I \subseteq \text{mor}(\mathcal{C}_0)$  constituting a two-sided ideal;  
 ie,  $f \in I \Rightarrow hf, fg \in I$  for all suitable  $h, g$ .

Ex Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category,  $T$  a monad on  $\mathcal{C}$ . We can give an enrichment  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $[\Delta_+^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]_{\text{conv}}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) : \Delta_+^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Set} \\ \underline{n} &\longmapsto \mathcal{C}(T^n x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Note: presheaf structure uses the monad structure of  $T$ .

=

Composition is

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{C}}(y, z)(\underline{m}) \times \underline{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)(\underline{n}) &\longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}(x, z)(\underline{m \oplus n}) \\ f: T^m y \rightarrow z, \quad g: T^n x \rightarrow y &\longmapsto T^{m+n} x \xrightarrow{T^m g} T^m y \xrightarrow{f} z. \end{aligned}$$

=

DEFN A  $\mathcal{V}$ -functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between  $\mathcal{V}$ -cats involves:

- a mapping  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathcal{D})$
- for all  $x, y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , a map  $F_{xy}: \underline{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(F_x, F_y)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$
- plus functoriality axioms

=

For example:

- A Set-functor is a functor;
- A Cat-functor is a 2-functor (= strict homomorphism)

- A kVect-functor is a  $k$ -linear functor.

...

What about our more interesting examples?

- A Set-functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a pair of functors in a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F_0} & \mathcal{D}_0 \\ \text{i.o.o.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_1 & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \mathcal{D}_1 \end{array}$$

- A Subset-functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  st  $F(I_{\mathcal{C}}) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{D}}$ .
- If  $T, S$  are monads on  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ , and  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{\mathcal{D}}$  are the associated  $[\Delta_+^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$ -cats, then a  $[\Delta_+^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$ -functor  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}$  is an ordinary functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  t/w a nat xfm  $\delta: SF \Rightarrow FT$  compatible w/ monad structures. (A monad morphism  $(\mathcal{C}, T) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, S)$ ).

In particular, a  $[\Delta_+^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$ -functor  $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}$  is precisely an  $S$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

=

There's also a notion of  $\mathcal{V}$ -natural transformation  $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between  $\mathcal{V}$ -functors. Such an  $\alpha$  involves a family of components  $\alpha_x: Fx \rightarrow Gx$  in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , satisfying a  $\mathcal{V}$ -naturality condition (see notes).

In this way, we get a 2-cat of  $\mathcal{V}$ -cats,  $\mathcal{V}$ -functors +  $\mathcal{V}$ -nat

transformations.

#### 4) THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ENRICHED CATEGORIES

DEFN Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a monoidal caty. A  $\mathcal{V}$ -action on a caty  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $\bullet: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  thw nat isoms.

$$\lambda: I \bullet C \xrightarrow{\sim} C \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha: (V \otimes W) \bullet C \xrightarrow{\sim} V \bullet (W \bullet C)$$

plus axioms. Equiv: it's a strong monoidal functor  $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes) \rightarrow ([\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}], \circ)$ .

Ex •  $\mathcal{V}$  acts on itself via  $\otimes$ .

- If  $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{W}$  is strong monoidal functor, then any  $\mathcal{W}$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$  yields a  $\mathcal{V}$ -action by precomposition.
- If  $\mathcal{C}$  has coproduct, have an action

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Set} \times \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ I, C &\longmapsto I \bullet C = \sum_{i \in I} C \\ &\quad \leftarrow k\text{-algebra} \end{aligned}$$

- Have an action  $k\text{-Vect} \times A\text{-mod} \longrightarrow A\text{-mod}$

$$(V, M) \longmapsto V \otimes_k M$$

- Have an action  $k\text{-Coalg} \times k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}}$

$$C, A \longmapsto \text{Hom}(C, A)$$

- A monad on  $\mathcal{C}$  is same as a strict monoidal functor  $\Delta_+ \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}]$ . So we get a

strictly assoc. and unital action  $\Delta_+ \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .  
 $(\underline{n}, C) \longmapsto T^n C$ .

DEFN A monoidal action  $\bullet$  is right closed if each functor  $(-)\bullet C: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  has a right adjoint  $\langle C, - \rangle: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ , i.e.:

$$\frac{V \bullet C \longrightarrow D \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}}{V \longrightarrow \langle C, D \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}}$$

=

For example:

- Self-action  $\otimes: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is right-closed just when  $\mathcal{V}$  is a right-closed monoidal catg: true in almost all of our examples!
- $k\text{-Vect} \times A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$  is right closed, where  $\langle M, N \rangle = k\text{-vect sp. of } A\text{-Mod}$  for  $M, N \in A\text{-mod}$  homomorphisms.
- $k\text{-Coalg} \times k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}}$  is right-closed, where for  $A, B \in k\text{-Alg}$ ,  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is Sweedler's measuring coalgebra from  $A$  to  $B$ ; satisfies

$$\frac{B \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C, A) \quad \text{in } k\text{-Alg}}{C \longrightarrow \langle B, A \rangle \quad \text{in } k\text{-Coalg.}}$$

- $\text{Set} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is always right-closed, with  $(I, c) \mapsto I \bullet c$  and  $\langle C, D \rangle = \mathcal{C}(C, D)$ .

THEOREM (Fundamental theorem of enriched category theory) Any right-closed action  $\circ: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  gives rise to an enrichment of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Moreover, the  $\mathcal{V}$ -cats arising in this way are precisely those which have copowers.

What's a copower?

DEFN Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathcal{V}$ -cat,  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . A copower of  $C$  by  $V$  is an object  $V \circ C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  plus a map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, V \circ C)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ , composition with which induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{in } \mathcal{V} & \frac{A \otimes V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, D)}{A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(V \circ C, D)} & \text{so in ptic } \frac{V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, D) \text{ in } \mathcal{V}}{V \circ C \rightarrow D \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_0} \otimes \end{array}$$

Proof of thm

Given a  $\mathcal{V}$ -action  $\circ: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  which is right-closed, we define a  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichment of  $\mathcal{C}$ , say  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ , where:  
 $\leftarrow$  from right-closure of  $\circ$

$$\underline{\mathcal{C}}(C, D) = \langle C, D \rangle$$

Identities:

$$\frac{I \circ C \xrightarrow{\sim} C}{I \xrightarrow{e_C} \langle C, C \rangle}$$

Composition

$$\frac{\langle \langle D, E \rangle \otimes \langle C, D \rangle \rangle \circ C \cong \langle D, E \rangle \circ (\langle C, D \rangle \circ C) \xrightarrow{1 \circ \text{ev}} \langle D, E \rangle \circ D \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} E}{\langle \langle D, E \rangle \otimes \langle C, D \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow \langle C, E \rangle}$$

Easy to check the axioms; now the unit maps  $V \rightarrow \langle C, V \circ C \rangle$  of the adjs  $(-) \circ C \dashv \langle C, - \rangle$  exhibit  $V \circ C$  as a copower of  $C$  by  $V$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Conversely, if  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -caty with copowers, then taking copowers gives a  $\mathcal{V}$ -action on  $(\underline{\mathcal{C}})_0 = \mathcal{C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ V, C &\mapsto V \circ C \end{aligned}$$

which is right closed by  $\otimes$ , with  $\langle C, D \rangle = \mathcal{C}(C, D)$ .  $\square$ .

For example:

- if  $\mathcal{V}$  is right-closed monoidal caty, then it has an enrichment over itself: for example:
  - $\underline{\text{Cat}}$  is a  $\text{Cat}$ -category (ie a 2-caty)
  - $[\Delta^{op}, \text{Set}]$  is a simplicially enriched caty
  - $k\text{-Vect}$  is a  $k$ -linear category
- Via  $\text{Set} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  ( $\mathcal{C}$  caty with coprods), get an enrichment of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\underline{\text{Set}}$ ... which is just  $\mathcal{C}$ !
- Via  $k\text{-Vect} \times A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ , we see that  $A\text{-Mod}$  is a  $k$ -linear caty.



- Via  $k\text{-Coalg} \times k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}}$ , get an enrichment of  $k\text{-Alg}^{\text{op}}$  in  $k\text{-Coalg}$ .